

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STAPES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/037,299	10/25/2001	Stewart Thomas Leslie	208.1009	4506
	7590 02/08/200 OAVIDSON & KAPPE	EXAMINER		
485 SEVENTH AVENUE, 14TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10018			OH, SIMON J	
NEW YORK, N	VI 10010		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1618	
<u></u>				
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MOI	NTHS	02/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/037,299 LESLIE, STEWART THOMA	
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Simon J. Oh	1618
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be til will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	•		•
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 O</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro	
Dispositi	on of Claims		
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1.2 and 5-19 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1.2 and 5-19 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	wn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r.  epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the drawing(s) is objected	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). njected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	· ·	
12)⊠ a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicativity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment	t(s)		
1)  Notice 2)  Notice 3)  Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

# **DETAILED ACTION**

### Papers Received

Receipt is acknowledged of the applicant's response and petition for extension of time, both received on 23 October 2006.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

The rejection of Claims 1, 2 and 5-17 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) over Granger *et al.* is hereby maintained.

Claims 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Granger et al.

The Granger *et al.* patent teaches a transdermal dosage form that is formulated to be resistant to abuse (See Abstract). The dosage form may comprise an opioid, such as buprenorphine (See Column 4, Lines 36). An opioid antagonist such as naloxone is also included to prevent misuse of the dosage form (See Column 5, Lines 26-38). The antagonist is released form the dosage form when it is ingested or immersed in a solvent (See Column 2, Lines 40-46). A variety of permeation enhancers may be incorporated into the dosage form (See Column 4, Line 63 to Column 5, Line 25). Various embodiments of the disclosed invention include the use of an adhesive matrix containing the opioid, the use of a barrier means to separate

Art Unit: 1618

the antagonist from the opioid, and the use of a soluble material that encapsulates discrete units of the antagonist (See Column 4, Lines 11-27; and Column 6, Lines 3-19).

As the prior art has disclosed the limitations of the instantly claimed invention, the instantly claimed invention is therefore anticipated.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 23 October 2006 have been considered but are not found persuasive.

The applicant's primary argument against the prior art rejection of record is that the claims are written such that the recited Markush group of distressing substances excludes opioid antagonists such as naloxone. However, based on the applicant's own specification, opioid antagonists appear to fall under what the applicant has himself defined under the broad term of ergolides (See top of Page 3 of the instant specification). As such, it is the position of the examiner that the prior art still properly reads on the instant claims. Therefore, all pending claims are rejected.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO Art Unit: 1618

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

## Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Simon J. Oh whose telephone number is (571) 272-0599. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Hartley can be reached on (571) 272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/037,299

Art Unit: 1618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Simon J. Oh Examiner Page 5

Art Unit 1618

sjo

MICHAEL G. HARTLEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER